



04-25-03

P/2-63

#3624
m
Giles

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Roger Hoffman

Serial No.: 09/609,801

Examiner: R. Weisberger

Filed: July 3, 2000

Art Unit: 3624

For: INTERNET EXPENSE ACCOUNT

Dated: April 24, 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Response to Office Action

Sir:

RECEIVED
APR 29 2003
GROUP 3000

The following is in response to the Notice of Non-Complaint Amendment mailed April 18, 2003.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 1, line 2, delete "encoded". A clean and marked-up copy of claim 1, as amended is enclosed herewith.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-12 as being indefinite.

In claim 1, "encoded" is vague, indefinite and without particularity. Applicant has deleted the term "encoded".

In claim 4, said system allowing said information to be transferred is vague and indefinite. What structural element is this directed to? The claim recites that the system of the present invention transfers information which is on the card to a text document which is part of an e-mail server.